$_{\rm JS~44~(Rev.~12/12)} \text{Case 2:15-cv-12423-AJT-M} \textbf{(PlyFiCFON)YER} \\ \text{ilselfon} \\ \textbf{(PlyFiCFON)YER} \\ \textbf{(PlyFiCFON)YER}$

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephane Number) Mark Small, Esq. 656 W. Finik St. Berningham Markon (1998) (Comment of Land Post of Land P	I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Shiloh Maier 388 East Gardner Street Sparta, MI 49345			DEFENDANTS Law Office of Mary Jane M. Elliott, P.C. 24300 Karim Blvd. Novi, MI 48375			
Mark Care III Each Solid Brinningham, Mil 44009 (244) 376-3110				(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)			
Second Control	(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)						
U.S. Government W.S. Federal Question (U.S. Convenuent New a Party) DEF Citizen of This State	656 W. Frank St. Birmingham, MI 4800	9					
Seeders Operation	II. BASIS OF JURISDI	ICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	Contraction of the second second		NCIPAL PARTIES (Pla		
Defendant Chizen or Subject of a 3 3 Foreign Nation 6 6 6		U.S. Government 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		PTF DEF Citizen of This State			
V. NATURE OF SUIT (Place on "X" in One Box Only)			Citiz		of Business In A	Another State	
TORTS	W. N. TUDE OF CHIT		100000000000000000000000000000000000000		3 3 Foreign Nation	6 06	
110 Justimene 130 Admine 310 Admines			F	DREETI RE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
153 Recovery of Overnayment of Veteran Stenefits 160 Stockholders Suits 150 Other Contract 150 Other Personal Injury 150 Other Labor Litigation 150 Other Personal Injury 150 Other Personal Injury 150 Other Personal Injury 150 Other Labor Litigation 150 Other Personal 150 Other Personal Injury 150 Other Labor Litigation 150 Other Personal Injury 150 Other Labor Litigation 150 Other Personal 150 Other Personal Injury 150 Other Labor Litigation 150 Other Personal Injury 150 Other Labor Litigation 150 Other Personal Injury 150 Other Labor Litigation 150 Other Personal Injury 15	☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	PERSONAL INJURY 3 10 Airplane 3 15 Airplane Product Liability 3 20 Assault, Libel & Slander 3 30 Federal Employers' Liability 3 40 Marine PERSONAL INJURY 9 After Sonal Injury Product Liability Personal Injury Product Liability 3 68 Asbestos Personal Injury Product		25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 00 Other	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 375 False Claims Act □ 423 Withdrawal □ 400 State Reapportionment 28 USC 157 □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking ■ 820 Copyrights □ 450 Commerce □ 830 Patent □ 470 Racketeer Influenced an Corrupt Organizations □ 840 Trademark □ 480 Consumer Credit		
210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 441 Voting 441 Civing 442 Employment 442 Education 290 All Other Real Property 445 Amer. w/Disabilities 550 Civil Detainee 500 Civil Detaine	☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	Liability PERSONAL PRO 350 Motor Vehicle 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Len 373 Truth in Len 374 Truth in Len 375 Motor Vehicle 376 Other Person 376 Other Person Property Dan 1njury 385 Property Dan 377 Product Liab	ding	710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Management Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 751 Family and Medical Leave Act 790 Other Labor Litigation	□ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g))	■ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange ■ 890 Other Statutory Actions ■ 891 Agricultural Acts ■ 893 Environmental Matters ■ 895 Freedom of Information Act ■ 896 Arbitration	
1 Original 2 Removed from 3 Remanded from Appellate Court 4 Reinstated or Reopened 5 Transferred from 6 Multidistrict Litigation	☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	440 Other Civil Rights 448 Education 44	ty 4 Cother 4	Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 62 Naturalization Application 65 Other Immigration	□ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Brief description of cause: Unlawful Debt Collection Practices VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. DEMAND \$ TBD CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Yes \square No VIII. RELATED CASE(S) UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.	☑ 1 Original ☐ 2 Re	emoved from	Rec	opened Anol (spec	ther District Litigati		
COMPLAINT: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions): (See instructions):		15 U.S.C. § 1692, st seq Brief description of cause:	are filing (I	Oo not cite jurisdictional statu			
IE ANY (See instructions):			ON I	DEMAND \$ TBD			
		(See instructions):	1	7	DOCKET NUMBER		
O7/09/2015 SIGNATURE OF TTORNEY OF RECORD (P36994)		SIGNATURE	2 -	FRECORD PH99	()		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE		MOUNT APPLYING IF	гр	JUDGE	MAG. JU	JDGE	

10

13

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

2728

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

Case No.: 2:15-cv-12423

Dlaintiff

Plaintiff,

vs.

SHILOH MAIER,

LAW OFFICE OF MARY JANE M. ELLIOT, P.C.,

Defendant

CIVIL COMPLAINT

AND

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

COMPLAINT AND JURY TRIAL DEMAND

Plaintiff, Shiloh Maier, on behalf of himself (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), by and through his undersigned attorney, alleges against the Defendant, Law Office of Mary Jane M. Elliot, P.C. (hereinafter "Defendant") as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. This is an action for damages arising from Defendant's violations of 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq., the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (hereinafter "FDCPA"), which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, deceptive and unfair practices and violations of the Electronic Funds Transfer Act (hereinafter "EFTA") 15 U.S.C. § 1693, et seq., which governs proper protocol for the transfer of electronic funds.

JURSIDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331, 15 U.S.C. §1692 and 15 U.S.C. §1693, et seq.
- 3. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C § 1391(b).

- 4. Plaintiff, Shiloh Maier, is a natural person, who at all relevant times has resided in the city of Sparta, Kent County, State of Michigan, and is a "consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 5. Defendant is incorporated and doing business in the State of Michigan, with its corporate mailing address as 24300 Karim Boulevard, Novi, MI 48375, and is a "debt collector" as defined by 15 U.S.C § 1692a(6).

FACTUAL STATEMENT

- 6. At all times relevant to this litigation, Defendant Law Offices of Mary Jane Elliot, P.C. (hereinafter "MJE" or "Defendant") sought collection of a consumer debt from Plaintiff Shiloh Maier (hereinafter "Maier" or "Plaintiff"). The consumer debt collection was sought on, and stemmed from, a Synchrony Bank credit card (hereinafter the "subject account"), which was used primarily for household and personal purposes.
- 7. In early November of 2014, Maier agreed to make a payment of \$40.00 on the subject account. This agreement was made during the course of a telephone conversation and the means of payment was to be an electronic funds transfer from Maier's checking account electronically administered by MJE; pertinent details of the asset bearing account were provided to MJE during the same call. The agreement provided that the funds were to be automatically transferred from Maier's account on November 18, 2014.
- 8. The agreement allowing for MJE to electronically transfer funds from her asset bearing checking account, to an account specified by MJE, was NOT reduced to a writing duly executed by Maier. The only authorization MJE had was Maier's oral authorization over the telephone.

9. November 18, 2014 came and passed without MJE initiating the electronic transfer of funds as agreed upon. In fact, MJE did not request the electronic transfer of funds until months later, on January 13, 2015. The fact that the electronic transfer request was requested on the 13th of January 2015 has been independently verified by the Assistant Vice President for Independent Bank (Maier's banking institution), Bridget Murphy.

10. The electronic transfer of these funds on an unauthorized date has caused much hardship to Maier in the form of fees and penalties incurred by her as a result on there being insufficient funds in the asset bearing account at the time of the unauthorized transfer.

VIOLATION OF THE FAIR DEBT COLECTIONS PRACTICES ACT 15 U.S.C. §1692(e)(8)

- 11. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 11 above and incorporates them as if set forth specifically herein.
- 12. At all times relevant to this litigation, Defendant Law Offices of Mary Jane Elliot, P.C. (hereinafter "MJE" or "Defendant") sought collection of a consumer debt from Plaintiff Shiloh Maier (hereinafter "Maier" or "Plaintiff"). The consumer debt collection was sought on, and stemmed from, a Synchrony Bank credit card (hereinafter the "subject account"), which was used primarily for household and personal purposes.
- 13. In early November of 2014, Maier agreed to make a payment of \$40.00 on the subject account. This agreement was made during the course of a telephone conversation and the means of payment was to be an electronic funds transfer from Maier's checking account electronically administered by MJE; pertinent details of the asset bearing account were provided to MJE during the same call. The agreement provided that the funds were to be automatically transfer from Maier's account on November 18, 2014.

14. The agreement allowing for MJE to electronically transfer funds from her asset bearing checking account, to an account specified by MJE, was NOT reduced to a writing to be duly executed by Maier. The only authorization MJE had was Maier's oral authorization over the telephone.

- 15. November 18, 2014 came and passed without MJE initiating the electronic transfer of funds as agreed upon. In fact, MJE did not request the electronic transfer of funds until months later, on January 13, 2015. The fact that the electronic transfer request was requested on the 13th of January 2015 has been independently verified by the Assistant Vice President for Independent Bank (Maier's banking institution), Bridget Murphy.
- 16. The electronic transfer of these funds on an unauthorized date has caused much hardship to Maier in the form of fees and penalties incurred by her as a result of there being insufficient funds in the asset bearing account at the time of the unauthorized transfer.
- 17. Defendant's actions and inactions are in direct contravention of 15 U.S.C. §1692(e) Preface, 15 U.S.C. §1692(e)(10), 15 U.S.C. §1692(f) Pre-face.

VIOLATION OF THE ELECTRONIC FUNDS TRANSFER ACT 15 U.S.C. § 1693, et seq.

- 18. Plaintiff repeats the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 17 and incorporates same as if set forth at length herein.
- 19. In early November of 2014, Maier agreed to make a payment of \$40.00 on the subject account. This agreement was made during the course of a telephone conversation and the means of payment was to be an electronic funds transfer from Maier's checking account electronically administered by MJE; pertinent details of the asset bearing account were provided to MJE during

the same call. The agreement provided that the funds were to be automatically transfer from Maier's account on November 18, 2014.

- 20. The agreement allowing for MJE to electronically transfer funds from her asset bearing checking account, to an account specified by MJE, was NOT reduced to a writing to be duly executed by Maier. The only authorization MJE had was Maier's oral authorization over the telephone.
- 21. November 18, 2014 came and passed without MJE initiating the electronic transfer of funds as agreed upon. In fact, MJE did not request the electronic transfer of funds until months later, on January 13, 2015. The fact that the electronic transfer request was requested on the 13th of January 2015 has been independently verified by the Assistant Vice President for Independent Bank (Maier's banking institution), Bridget Murphy.
- 22. The electronic transfer of these funds on an unauthorized date caused much hardship on Maier in the form of fees and penalties incurred by her as a result of there being insufficient funds in the asset bearing account at the time of the unauthorized transfer.
- 23. Defendant's actions and inactions are in direct contravention of 15 U.S.C. §1693(e), which requires preauthorized electronic funds transfers to be authorized only in a writing executed by the consumer.
- 24. As a direct result of Defendant's actions, Plaintiff has been damaged.

JURY TRIAL DEMAND

23. Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Shiloh Maier, requests that this Court grant the following relief against the Defendant and on behalf of Plaintiff:

- That an order be entered declaring the Defendant's actions in this matter, to be in violation of the FDCPA and the EFTA;
- b. That judgment be entered against the Defendant for actual damages
- That judgment be entered against the Defendant for statutory damages, pursuant to 15
 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A) and (B), in the amount of \$1,000.00;
- d. That judgment be entered against the Defendant for statutory damages, pursuant to 15
 U.S.C. § 1693(m)(a)(2)(A).
- e. That the court award costs and reasonable attorneys' fees, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k (a)(3):
- f. That the Court grant such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

Dated this 9th day of July, 2015

Respectfully Submitted,

Attorney for Plaintiff
Mark Small, Esq. (P26976)

656 W. Frank St.

Birmingham, MI 48009

(248) 376-3110

Of Counsel for the Firm:

Law Offices of Michael Lupolover

120 Sylvan Avenue, Suite 300 Englewood Cliffs, NJ 07632

Telephone: 201-461-0059

David@lupoloverlaw.com